

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Stafes Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,553	· · •	02/15/2001	Hirotsugu Satoh	R2184.0095/P095	9369
24998	7590	03/02/2006		EXAMINER	
DICKSTE	IN SHA	PIRO MORIN &	YIGDALL, MICHAEL J		
	2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037				PAPER NUMBER
w asimigton	, DC 2	.0037		2192	
				DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/783,553	SATOH, HIROTSUGU					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael J. Yigdall	2192					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No.	ovember 200 <u>5</u> .						
	action is non-final.						
· —							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	,— ··· _						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/783,553 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 20, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1-5 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection set forth below with reference to Miller.

Nonetheless, in response to Applicant's argument that Tognazzini does not teach or suggest the limitation wherein "updated software is stored in said memory device of said computer, then said stored updated software is further stored in said optical recording medium" (remarks, page 5, third paragraph), the examiner does not agree with Applicant's conclusion.

As Applicant notes, Tognazzini discloses that "information could be downloaded to the CD-ROM through an information processing system" (remarks, page 5, third paragraph), and further that "CPU 400 then causes the inputs or monitored information to be transferred from RAM 410B to read/write part 102 of disk 100" (remarks, page 5, last paragraph). Here, the information downloaded to the CD-ROM is in fact supplemental information or updated software that is downloaded to the read/write part 102 of the CD-ROM (see, for example, column 5, lines 11-18). The RAM 410B is of course a memory device of the computer (see, for

example, column 6, lines 12-17). And while Tognazzini's description of how data is first stored in the memory device of the computer and then transferred to the read/write part 102 of the CD-ROM does refer to "inputs" and "monitored information," rather than the "supplemental information," there is nothing to suggest that the supplemental information or updated software is somehow transferred to the CD-ROM in any other different or contradictory manner.

Moreover, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that storing the updated software in the memory device of the computer and then transferring the stored updated software to the CD-ROM still illustrates downloading the updated software "through" the computer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,097,814 to Mochizuki (art of record, "Mochizuki") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,094,723 to Tognazzini (art of record, "Tognazzini") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,535,911 to Miller et al. (now made of record, "Miller").

With respect to claim 1 (currently amended), Mochizuki discloses an optical recording medium that is computer-readable and -writable (see, for example, column 5, lines 15-21, which shows a computer-readable optical recording medium, and column 9, line 56 to column 10, line

Art Unit: 2192

8, which shows that the medium is writable), which medium stores software to be distributed, non-rewritable inherent ID information (see, for example, column 5, lines 29-35, which shows that the medium stores software to be distributed and inherent ID information, and column 5, lines 56-67, which shows that the ID is permanent or non-rewritable), and a transmission program for transmitting the inherent ID information to a software distributor via a communication device (see, for example, steps S1 and S4 in FIG. 4, and column 7, lines 3-10, which shows that the ID stored on the medium is transmitted to a software distributor).

Mochizuki does not expressly disclose the limitation wherein the medium stores a program for causing updated software to be stored in a memory device of a computer and in said optical recording medium, and wherein said updated software is stored in said memory device of said computer, and then said stored updated software is further stored in said optical recording medium.

However, Tognazzini similarly discloses an optical recording medium that is computer-readable and -writable (see, for example, column 2, lines 8-17). Tognazzini further discloses downloading and applying supplemental information or updates to the optical recording medium through a computer (see, for example, column 5, lines 11-18). Such information is stored in a memory device of the computer, and then stored in the optical recording medium (see, for example, column 6, lines 8-17).

Likewise, Miller discloses updating the software recorded on an optical recording medium (see, for example, the abstract, and column 2, lines 4-5). Miller further discloses downloading the updated software and storing the updated software on a storage device of the

computer, so as to resume any incomplete transmissions and ensure that all files are downloaded before applying the update (see, for example, column 5, lines 62-67, and column 7, lines 52-61).

Page 5

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to supplement the system of Mochizuki with a program for causing updated software to be stored in a memory device of a computer and in said optical recording medium, such as taught by Tognazzini. It would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide the ability to update a pre-recorded optical recording medium.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the system of Mochizuki and Tognazzini such that said updated software is stored in said memory device of said computer, and then said stored updated software is further stored in said optical recording medium, as suggested by Miller. It would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide the ability to resume any incomplete transmissions and ensure that all files are downloaded before storing the updated software in the optical recording medium.

With respect to claim 4 (previously presented), the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and Mochizuki further discloses storing a computer information acquiring program for acquiring information of said computer (see, for example, column 6, lines 17-30, which shows obtaining a drive ID from the reproduction apparatus that is using the medium), wherein the transmission program transmits the information of the computer, as well as the inherent ID information, to the software distributor (see, for example, steps S1, S2 and S4 in FIG. 4, and column 7, lines 3-10, which shows transmitting the inherent ID of the medium and the drive ID or information of the computer to the software distributor).

5. Claims 2, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mochizuki in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,381,741 to Shaw (art of record, "Shaw") in view of Tognazzini in view of Miller.

With respect to claim 2 (currently amended), Mochizuki discloses an optical recording medium that is computer-readable and -writable (see, for example, column 5, lines 15-21, which shows a computer-readable optical recording medium, and column 9, line 56 to column 10, line 8, which shows that the medium is writable), which medium stores software to be distributed and non-rewritable inherent ID information (see, for example, column 5, lines 29-35, which shows that the medium stores software to be distributed and inherent ID information, and column 5, lines 56-67, which shows that the ID is permanent or non-rewritable).

Although Mochizuki discloses reproducing the software based on an authentication judgment result of the inherent ID information (see, for example, steps S1, S4, S8 and S9 in FIG. 4), Mochizuki does not expressly disclose a software updating program for rewriting and updating the software in accordance with update software transmitted from a software distributor via a communication device based on an authentication judgment result of the inherent ID information.

However, Shaw discloses an updater or updating program (see, for example, column 4, lines 44-49) for rewriting and updating software with updated code transmitted from a distributor (see, for example, column 5, lines 3-13), based on an authentication judgment result (see, for example, column 4, lines 34-42, which shows comparing a digital signature before beginning the update), after first transmitting ID information (see, for example, column 4, lines 13-18). Shaw

further discloses that the updating program securely updates the data by performing integrity tests and confirming that the update is trustworthy (see, for example, column 1, line 66 to column 2, line 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to supplement the system of Mochizuki with a program for rewriting and updating the software in accordance with update software transmitted from a software distributor via a communication device based on an authentication judgment result of the inherent ID information, such as taught by Shaw. It would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide the ability to securely update the software by performing integrity tests and confirming that the update is trustworthy.

Mochizuki in view of Shaw does not expressly disclose the limitation wherein the software updating program is for causing updated software to be stored in a memory device of a computer and in said optical recording medium, and wherein said updated software is stored in said memory device of said computer, and then said stored updated software is further stored in said optical recording medium.

However, Tognazzini similarly discloses an optical recording medium that is computer-readable and -writable (see, for example, column 2, lines 8-17). Tognazzini further discloses downloading and applying supplemental information or updates to the optical recording medium through a computer (see, for example, column 5, lines 11-18). Such information is stored in a memory device of the computer, and then stored in the optical recording medium (see, for example, column 6, lines 8-17).

Likewise, Miller discloses updating the software recorded on an optical recording medium (see, for example, the abstract, and column 2, lines 4-5). Miller further discloses downloading the updated software and storing the updated software on a storage device of the computer, so as to resume any incomplete transmissions and ensure that all files are downloaded before applying the update (see, for example, column 5, lines 62-67, and column 7, lines 52-61).

Page 8

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to supplement the system of Mochizuki and Shaw with a program for causing updated software to be stored in a memory device of a computer and in said optical recording medium, such as taught by Tognazzini. It would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide the ability to update a pre-recorded optical recording medium.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the system of Mochizuki, Shaw and Tognazzini such that said updated software is stored in said memory device of said computer, and then said stored updated software is further stored in said optical recording medium, as suggested by Miller. It would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide the ability to resume any incomplete transmissions and ensure that all files are downloaded before storing the updated software in the optical recording medium.

With respect to claim 3 (currently amended), Mochizuki discloses an optical recording medium that is computer-readable and -writable (see, for example, column 5, lines 15-21, which shows a computer-readable optical recording medium, and column 9, line 56 to column 10, line 8, which shows that the medium is writable), which medium stores software to be distributed,

non-rewritable inherent ID information (see, for example, column 5, lines 29-35, which shows that the medium stores software to be distributed and inherent ID information, and column 5, lines 56-67, which shows that the ID is permanent or non-rewritable), and a transmission program for transmitting the inherent ID information to a software distributor via a communication device (see, for example, steps S1 and S4 in FIG. 4, and column 7, lines 3-10, which shows that the ID stored on the medium is transmitted to a software distributor).

Although Mochizuki discloses reproducing the software based on an authentication judgment result of the inherent ID information (see, for example, steps S1, S4, S8 and S9 in FIG. 4), Mochizuki does not expressly disclose a software updating program of rewriting and updating the software in accordance with update software transmitted from the software distributor via the communication device based on an authentication judgment result of the inherent ID information.

However, Shaw discloses an updater or updating program (see, for example, column 4, lines 44-49) for rewriting and updating software with updated code transmitted from a distributor (see, for example, column 5, lines 3-13), based on an authentication judgment result (see, for example, column 4, lines 34-42, which shows comparing a digital signature before beginning the update), after first transmitting ID information (see, for example, column 4, lines 13-18). Shaw further discloses that the updating program securely updates the data by performing integrity tests and confirming that the update is trustworthy (see, for example, column 1, line 66 to column 2, line 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to supplement the system of Mochizuki with a program for rewriting and updating the

Art Unit: 2192

software in accordance with update software transmitted from a software distributor via a communication device based on an authentication judgment result of the inherent ID information, such as taught by Shaw. It would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide the ability to securely update the software by performing integrity tests and confirming that the update is trustworthy.

Mochizuki in view of Shaw does not expressly disclose the limitation wherein the software updating program is for causing updated software to be stored in a memory device of a computer and in said optical recording medium, and wherein said updated software is stored in said memory device of said computer, and then said stored updated software is further stored in said optical recording medium.

However, Tognazzini similarly discloses an optical recording medium that is computer-readable and -writable (see, for example, column 2, lines 8-17). Tognazzini further discloses downloading and applying supplemental information or updates to the optical recording medium through a computer (see, for example, column 5, lines 11-18). Such information is stored in a memory device of the computer, and then stored in the optical recording medium (see, for example, column 6, lines 8-17).

Likewise, Miller discloses updating the software recorded on an optical recording medium (see, for example, the abstract, and column 2, lines 4-5). Miller further discloses downloading the updated software and storing the updated software on a storage device of the computer, so as to resume any incomplete transmissions and ensure that all files are downloaded before applying the update (see, for example, column 5, lines 62-67, and column 7, lines 52-61).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to supplement the system of Mochizuki and Shaw with a program for causing updated software to be stored in a memory device of a computer and in said optical recording medium, such as taught by Tognazzini. It would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide the ability to update a pre-recorded optical recording medium.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the system of Mochizuki, Shaw and Tognazzini such that said updated software is stored in said memory device of said computer, and then said stored updated software is further stored in said optical recording medium, as suggested by Miller. It would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide the ability to resume any incomplete transmissions and ensure that all files are downloaded before storing the updated software in the optical recording medium.

With respect to claim 5 (currently amended), Mochizuki discloses software distributed and stored in a computer-readable and -writable optical recording medium (see, for example, column 5, lines 15-21, which shows software distributed and stored in a computer-readable optical recording medium, and column 9, line 56 to column 10, line 8, which shows that the medium is writable).

Although Mochizuki discloses a method for reproducing software (see, for example, the title and abstract), Mochizuki does not expressly disclose a method of updating software.

However, Shaw discloses a method of upgrading or updating software (see, for example, the title and abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/783,553

Art Unit: 2192

Mochizuki also discloses transmitting non-rewritable inherent ID information to a software distributor via a communication device (see, for example, steps S1 and S4 in FIG. 4, and column 7, lines 3-10, which shows that the ID stored on the medium is transmitted to a software distributor).

Although Mochizuki discloses reproducing the software based on an authentication judgment result of the inherent ID information (see, for example, steps S1, S4, S8 and S9 in FIG. 4), Mochizuki does not expressly disclose rewriting and updating the software in accordance with update software transmitted from the software distributor via the communication device based on an authentication judgment result of the inherent ID information.

However, Shaw discloses an updater or updating program (see, for example, column 4, lines 44-49) for rewriting and updating software with updated code transmitted from a distributor (see, for example, column 5, lines 3-13), based on an authentication judgment result (see, for example, column 4, lines 34-42, which shows comparing a digital signature before beginning the update), after first transmitting ID information (see, for example, column 4, lines 13-18). Shaw further discloses that the updating program securely updates the data by performing integrity tests and confirming that the update is trustworthy (see, for example, column 1, line 66 to column 2, line 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to supplement the method of Mochizuki with the step of rewriting and updating the software in accordance with update software transmitted from a software distributor via a communication device based on an authentication judgment result of the inherent ID information, such as taught by Shaw. It would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 09/783,553

Art Unit: 2192

in the art would have been motivated to provide the ability to securely update the software by performing integrity tests and confirming that the update is trustworthy.

Mochizuki in view of Shaw does not expressly disclose updating software in the computer-readable and -writable optical recording medium and in a memory device of a computer that is currently using the computer-readable and -writable optical recording medium, wherein said updated software is stored in said memory device of said computer, then said stored updated software is further stored in said optical recording medium.

However, Tognazzini similarly discloses an optical recording medium that is computer-readable and -writable (see, for example, column 2, lines 8-17). Tognazzini further discloses downloading and applying supplemental information or updates to the optical recording medium through a computer (see, for example, column 5, lines 11-18). Such information is stored in a memory device of the computer, and then stored in the optical recording medium (see, for example, column 6, lines 8-17).

Likewise, Miller discloses updating the software recorded on an optical recording medium (see, for example, the abstract, and column 2, lines 4-5). Miller further discloses downloading the updated software and storing the updated software on a storage device of the computer, so as to resume any incomplete transmissions and ensure that all files are downloaded before applying the update (see, for example, column 5, lines 62-67, and column 7, lines 52-61).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to supplement the method of Mochizuki and Shaw with the step of updating software in the computer-readable and -writable optical recording medium and in a memory device of a computer that is currently using the computer-readable and -writable optical recording medium,

such as taught by Tognazzini. It would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide the ability to update a pre-recorded optical recording medium.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the method of Mochizuki, Shaw and Tognazzini such that said updated software is stored in said memory device of said computer, and then said stored updated software is further stored in said optical recording medium, as suggested by Miller. It would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide the ability to resume any incomplete transmissions and ensure that all files are downloaded before storing the updated software in the optical recording medium.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Yigdall whose telephone number is (571) 272-3707. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/783,553

Art Unit: 2192

Page 15

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MY

Michael J. Yigdall

Examiner

Art Unit 2192

mjy

TUAN DAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER